

## **Estimating the Volatility of Market Risk of Viet Nam Construction Material Industry after the Low Inflation Period 2015-2017**

**Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy, MBA**

Banking University, Ho Chi Minh City Viet Nam  
MBA, Graduate School of International Management  
International University of Japan, Niigata, Japan

### **Abstract**

---

*The Vietnam economy has gained lots of achievements after the financial crisis 2007-2011, until it reached a low inflation rate of 0.6% in 2015. This paper measures the volatility of market risk in Viet Nam construction industry after this period (2015-2017). The main reason is the vital role of the construction material company group in Vietnam in the economic development and growth in recent years always go with risk potential and risk control policies.*

*This research paper aims to figure out how much increase or decrease in the market risk of Vietnam construction material firms during the post-low inflation period 2015-2017.*

*First, by using quantitative combined with comparative data analysis method, we find out the risk level measured by equity beta mean in the construction material industry is acceptable, i.e it is little lower than (<) 1.*

*Then, one of its major findings is the comparison between risk level of construction material industry during the financial crisis 2007-2009 compared to those in the post-low inflation time 2015-2017. In fact, the research findings show us market risk fluctuation, measured by equity beta var, during the post-low inflation time has increased moderately.*

*Finally, this paper provides some ideas that could provide companies and government more evidence in establishing their policies in governance. This is the complex task but the research results shows us warning that the market risk volatility might be higher during the post-low inflation period 2015-2017. And our conclusion part will recommend some policies and plans to deal with it. Finding new potential markets and credit and financing policies are among directions for construction material companies.*

---

**JEL classification numbers:** G00, G390, C83

**Keywords:** risk management, asset beta, financial crisis, construction materil industry, policy

### **1 Introduction**

Throughout many recent years, Viet Nam construction market is evaluated as one of active markets, which has certain positive effect for the economy. The development of construction material industry goes parrallel with real estate and construction markets. Quality of construction material is trying to achieve both durability and beauty. Generally speaking, central banks aim to maintain inflation around 2% to 3%. Increases in inflation significantly beyond this range can lead to possible hyperinflation, a devastating scenario in which inflation rises rapidly out of control. Looking at exhibit 1, we can see the Vietnam economy has controlled inflation well. High inflation might lead to higher lending rate and harm the construction materil industry because of rising construction material price.

This study will calculate and figure out whether the market risk level during the post-low inflation time (2015-17) has increased or decreased, in construction material industry, compared to those statistics in the financial crisis time (2007-2009).

The paper is organized as follows: after the introduction it is the research issues, literature review, conceptual theories and methodology. Next, section 3 will cover main research findings/results. Section 4 gives us some discussion and conclusion and policy suggestion will be in the section 5.

## **2 Body of manuscript**

### **2.1 Research Issues**

The scope of this study are:

Issue 1: Whether the risk level of construction material firms under the different changing scenarios in post-low inflation period 2015-2017 increase or decrease so much, compared to in financial crisis 2007-2009 and?

Issue 2: Because Viet Nam is an emerging and immature financial market and the stock market still in the starting stage, whether the dispersed distribution of beta values become large in the different changing periods in the construction material industry.

This paper also tests three (3) below hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1: Comparing two (2) periods, during the financial crisis impact, the beta or risk level of listed companies in construction material industry will relatively higher than those in the post-low inflation environment.

Hypothesis 2: Because Viet Nam is an emerging and immature financial market and the stock market still in the recovering stage, there will be a large disperse distribution in beta values estimated in the construction maerial industry.

**Hypothesis 3: With the above reasons, the mean of equity and asset beta values of these listed construction material firms tend to impose a high risk level, i.e., beta should higher than ( $>$ ) 1. This hypothesis is based on the context of emerging markets including Viet Nam where there lacks of sufficient information and data disclosure although it might have high growth rate.**

### **2.2 Literature review**

Fama, Eugene F., and French, Kenneth R., (2004) also indicated in the three factor model that “value” and “size” are significant components which can affect stock returns. They also mentioned that a stock’s return not only depends on a market beta, but also on market capitalization beta. The market beta is used in the three factor model, developed by Fama and French, which is the successor to the CAPM model by Sharpe, Treynor and Lintner.

Dimitrov (2006) documented a significantly negative association between changes in financial leverage and contemporaneous risk-adjusted stock returns.

Umar (2011) found that firms which maintain good governance structures have leverage ratios that are higher (forty-seven percent) than those of firms with poor governance mechanisms per unit of profit. Chen et all (2013) supported regulators' suspicions that over-reliance on short-term funding and insufficient collateral compounded the effects of dangerously high leverage and resulted in undercapitalization and excessive risk exposure for Lehman Brothers. The model reinforces the importance of the relationship between capital structure and risk management. And Gunaratha (2013) revealed that in different industries in Sri Lanka, the degree of financial leverage has a significant positive correlation with financial risk.

During the financial crisis 2007-2009 in Viet Nam and global financial markets, high inflation causing high lending rates have created risks for many industries such as real estate and the whole economy. Mohamad et all (2014) showed that financial risk is vital through using both return on asset and return on equity in the performance equation. This result also implied that we cannot avoid the inverse relation of financial risk and performance; therefore, bank system would be better to make a trade-off between risk and performance.

Wang et al (2014) presented results showing that firms with long-term institutional investors receive significantly positive abnormal returns around the offering announcement.

Then, Gunarathna (2016) revealed that whereas firm size negatively impacts on the financial risk, financial leverage and financial risk has positive relationship.

Hami (2017) showed that financial depth has been affected negatively by inflation in Iran during the observation period.

Park et al (2019) found that sentiment caused by investors' inattentiveness mainly drives the underlying potent relationship between investor sentiment and aggregate stock returns. The results accord with the notion that investor attention generally improves market efficiency.

### **2.3 Conceptual theories**

Positive sides of low inflation: Low (not negative) inflation reduces the potential of economic recession by enabling the labor market to adjust more quickly in a downturn, and reduces the risk that a liquidity trap prevents monetary policy from stabilizing the economy. This is explaining why many economists nowadays prefer a low and stable rate of inflation. It will help investment, encourage exports and prevent boom economy.

Negative side of low inflation: it leads to low aggregate demand and economic growth, recession potential and high unemployment. Production becomes less vibrant. Low inflation makes real wages higher. Workers can thus reduce the supply of labor and increase rest time. On the other hand, low product prices reduce production motivation.

The central bank can use monetary policies, for instance, increasing interest rates to reduce lending, control money supply or the Ministry of finance and the government can use tight fiscal policy (high tax) to achieve low inflation.

Financial and credit risk in the bank system can increase when the financial market becomes more active and bigger, esp. with more international linkage influence. This affects to risk increasing in construction and real estate sector. Hence, central banks, commercial banks, construction firms and the government need to organize data to analyze and control these risks, including market risk.

### **2.4 Methodology**

We use the data from the stock exchange market in Viet Nam (HOSE and HNX) during the financial crisis 2007-2009 period and the post – low inflation time 2015-2017 to estimate systemic risk results. We perform both fundamental data analysis and financial techniques to calculate equity and asset beta values.

In this study, analytical research method and specially, comparative analysis method is used, combined with quantitative data analysis. Analytical data is from the situation of listed construction material firms in VN stock exchange.

Specifically, stock price data is from live data on HOSE stock exchange during 3 years 2015-2017, which presents the low inflation environment. Then, we use both analytical and summary method to generate analytical results from data calculated.

Finally, we use the results to suggest policy for both these enterprises, relevant organizations and government.

## **3 Main Results**

### **3.1 General Data Analysis**

We get some analytical results form the research sample with 19 listed firms in the construction material market with the live date from the stock exchange.

### **3.2 Empirical Research Findings and Discussion**

In the below section, data used are from total 19 listed construction material industry companies on VN stock exchange (HOSE and HNX mainly). Different scenarios are created by comparing the calculation risk data between 2 periods: the post – low inflation period 2015-2017 and the financial crisis 2007-2009.

Market risk (beta) under the impact of tax rate, includes: 1) equity beta; and 2) asset beta. We model our data analysis as in the below figure:

Figure 1 – Analyzing market risk under two (2) scenarios: post – low inflation period 2015-2017 compared to the financial crisis 2007-2009

	Risk level (equity beta)	Risk level (asset beta)	Other measures	Gap
Post – low inflation period	Scenario ...	Scenario ..	Scenario ..	Analysis
Financial crisis time				

**Table 1 – The Volatility of Market Risk (beta) of Construction material Industry in the post- low inflation period 2015-2017**

Order No.	Company stock code	2015-2017 (post - low inflation)		Financial leverage	Note
		Equity beta	Asset beta (assume debt beta = 0)		
1	DIC	0.381	0.090	76.3%	assume debt beta = 0; debt ratio as in F.S 2015; FL calculated as total debt/total capital
2	LBM	1.534	1.250	18.5%	
3	NAV	0.286	0.173	39.5%	
4	DXV	-0.050	-0.035	30.6%	
5	HT1	0.806	0.299	62.9%	
6	CVT	0.815	0.253	68.9%	
7	DC4	0.577	0.202	65.0%	
8	HPS	-0.614	-0.442	27.9%	
9	KBT				
10	PPG				
11	SDN	-1.205	-0.575	52.2%	
12	SKS				
13	VXB	0.319	0.117	63.3%	
14	DHA	-0.050	-0.044	12.2%	
15	CTI	0.350	0.065	81.5%	
16	DCT	0.037	0.004	90.2%	
17	SCL	1.012	0.496	51.0%	
18	HVX	0.308	0.121	60.6%	
19	NHC	-0.804	-0.578	28.2%	

**Table 2 – The Statistics of Volatility of Market Risk (beta) of Construction material Industry in the post- low inflation period 2015-2017**

Statistic results	2015-2017 (post - low inflation)	
	Equity beta	Asset beta (assume debt beta = 0)
MAX	1.534	1.250
MIN	-1.205	-0.578
MEAN	0.231	0.087
VAR	0.4796	0.1878
Note: Sample size : 19		

**Table 3 – The Comparison of Volatility of Market Risk (beta) of Construction material Industry in the post- low inflation period 2015-2017 and the financial crisis 2007-2009**

Order No.	Company stock code	2007-2009 (financial crisis)		2015-2017 (post - low inflation)		Note
		Equity beta	Asset (assume beta = 0) beta debt	Equity beta	Asset (assume beta = 0) beta debt	
1	DIC	0,986	0,337	0.381	0.090	assume debt beta = 0; debt ratio as in F.S 2015 and 2008
2	LBM	1,186	0,783	1.534	1.250	
3	NAV	0,895	0,539	0.286	0.173	
4	DXV	1,135	0,185	-0.050	-0.035	
5	HT1	0,599	0,087	0.806	0.299	
6	CVT	2,504	1,031	0.815	0.253	
7	DC4	1,007	0,345	0.577	0.202	
8	HPS	0,815	0,697	-0.614	-0.442	
9	KBT	1,019	0,639			
10	PPG	0,755	0,354			
11	SDN	0,533	0,281	-1.205	-0.575	
12	SKS	0,761	0,358	0.000	0.000	
13	VXB	0,355	0,141	0.319	0.117	
14	DHA	0,837	0,704	-0.050	-0.044	
15	CTI	0,129	0,041	0.350	0.065	
16	DCT	0,869	0,316	0.037	0.004	
17	SCL	0,620	0,338	1.012	0.496	
18	HVX	0,613	0,426	0.308	0.121	
19	NHC	0,717	0,549	-0.804	-0.578	

**Table 4 – The Difference between Volatility of Market Risk (beta) of Construction material Industry in the post- low inflation period 2015-2017 and the financial crisis 2007-2009**

Order No.	Company stock code	GAP (+/-) 2015-17 compared to 2007-09		Note
		Equity beta	Asset beta (assume debt beta = 0)	
1	DIC	-0.605	-0.247	values (2015-17) minus (-) 2007-09
2	LBM	0.348	0.467	
3	NAV	-0.609	-0.366	
4	DXV	-1.185	-0.220	
5	HT1	0.207	0.212	
6	CVT	-1.689	-0.778	
7	DC4	-0.430	-0.143	
8	HPS	-1.429	-1.139	
9	KBT	-1.019	-0.639	
10	PPG	-0.755	-0.354	
11	SDN	-1.738	-0.856	
12	SKS	-0.761	-0.358	
13	VXB	-0.036	-0.024	
14	DHA	-0.887	-0.748	
15	CTI	0.221	0.024	
16	DCT	-0.832	-0.312	
17	SCL	0.392	0.158	
18	HVX	-0.305	-0.305	
19	NHC	-1.521	-1.127	

**Table 5 – Statistics of Volatility of Market Risk (beta) of Construction material Industry in the post- low inflation period 2015-2017 compared to those in the financial crisis 2007-2009**

Statistic results	2007-2009 (crisis)		2015-2017 (post - low inflation)		GAP (+/-) 2015-17 compared to 2007-09	
	Equity beta	Asset beta (assume debt beta = 0)	Equity beta	Asset beta (assume debt beta = 0)	Equity beta	Asset beta (assume debt beta = 0)
MAX	2.504	1.031	1.534	1.250	-0.970	0.219
MIN	0.129	0.041	-1.205	-0.578	-1.334	-0.619
MEAN	0.860	0.429	0.231	0.087	-0.628	-0.342
VAR	0.2264	0.0658	0.480	0.188	0.253	0.122

Note: Sample size : 19

Based on the above calculation result table, we analyze data as follows:

Firstly, we see in the table 1 that more construction material firms (10 over 20 firms) have equity beta values lower (<) than 1, which means risk level acceptable. There are 2 firms (LBM, SCL) with equity beta > 1. And 4 companies with negative equity beta (< 0).

And table 2 provides evidence for us to see that equity beta mean of the sample is 0.231, just little lower than (<) 1. It is acceptable.


Then, looking at the table 3, we recognize that there are 2 firms with equity beta values > 1 in the post-low inflation period 2015-17, compared to 4 firms with equity beta values > 1 in the financial crisis 2007-2009.

Next, table 5 shows that equity beta var in the post- low inflation period are higher (>) than those in the financial crisis 2007-2009. Whereas it tells us asset and equity beta mean are smaller (<) than those in the financial crisis 2007-2009.

In addition to, looking at the below chart 1, we can find out:

More clearly, Value of equity beta var and aset beta max in the post-low inflation 2015-2017 are higher (>) than those in the crisis 2007-2009 while equity beta mean and asset beta mean are smaller (<) than those in the financial crisis 2007-2009. It means that the level of risk in the post – low inflation period 2015-17 is lower in general and in average, although the fluctuation in risk level measured by equity beta var is higher during the post-low inflation time.

**Chart 1 – Statistics of Market risk (beta) in VN Constrution material industry in the post – low inflation period 2015-2017 compared to the financial crisis 2007-2009**



#### 4. Discussion for further researches

We can continue to analyze risk factors behind the risk scene (risk fluctuation increasing, shown by equity beta var as above analysis) in order to recommend suitable policies and plans to control market risk better.

#### 5. Conclusion and Policy suggestion

In general, construction material company group in Vietnam has been contributing significantly to the economic development and GDP growth rate of more than 6-7% in recent years. The above analysis shows us that despite of market risk decreasing, risk volatility (equity beta var) is increasing during the post-low inflation period. Construction material firms in Vietnam need to continue enhancing their corporate governance system, structure and mechanisms, as well as their competitive advantage to control risk better. Also, they need to reduce risk of quality of real esate assets and reputation risk of construction material companies.

This research paper provides evidence that the market risk potential might be lower in 2015-2017 post-low inflation period (looking again chart 1 – equity beta mean values), while the Exhibit 3 also suggests that the credit growth rate increased in 2016 and slightly decrease in later years (2017-2018). It means that the local economy is trying to control credit growth reasonably, however we need to analyze risk factors more carefully to reduce more market risk.

Looking at the above chart 1, the result rejects the hypothesis 3 mentioning that the mean of equity and asset beta values of these listed construction material firms tend to impose a little high risk level, i.e., beta should higher than ( $>$ ) 1. Because the equity beta mean is lower in the post-low (L) inflation period, it supports the hypothesis 1 saying that comparing two (2) periods, during the financial crisis impact, the beta or risk level of listed companies in construction industry will relatively higher than those in the post-low inflation environment. Additionally, the above result supports the hypothesis 2 stating that because Viet Nam is an emerging and immature financial market and the stock market still in the recovering stage, there will be a large disperse distribution in beta values estimated in the construction material industry.

Last but not least, as it generates the warning that the risk fluctuation might be higher in the post-low inflation period, the government and relevant bodies such as Ministry of Finance and State Bank of Vietnam need to consider proper policies (including a combination of fiscal, monetary, exchange rate and price control policies) aiming to reduce the risk volatility and hence, help the construction material group as well as the whole economy become more stable in next development stage. The Ministry of Finance continue to increase the effectiveness of fiscal policies and tax policies which are needed to combine with other macro policies at the same time. The State Bank of Viet Nam continues to increase the effectiveness of capital providing channels for construction material companies as we could note that in this study, debt leverage has impacts on reducing risk level.

Finally, this study opens some new directions for further researches in risk control policies in construction system as well as in the whole economy.

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to take this opportunity to express my warm thanks to Board of Editors of This Journal and Colleagues at Citibank –HCMC, SCB and BIDV-HCMC, Dr. Chen and Dr. Yu Hai-Chin at Chung Yuan Christian University for class lectures, also Dr Chet Borucki, Dr Jay and my ex-Corporate Governance sensei, Dr. Shingo Takahashi at International University of Japan. My sincere thanks are for the editorial office, for their work during my research. Also, my warm thanks are for Dr. Ngo Huong, Dr. Ho Dieu, Dr. Ly H. Anh, Dr Nguyen V. Phuc, Dr Le Si Dong and my lecturers at Banking University – HCMC, Viet Nam for their help.

Lastly, thank you very much for my family, colleagues, and brother in assisting convenient conditions for my research paper.



## References

- [1] Allen, F., and Gale, D. (1992). Stock Price Manipulation, *Review of Financial Studies*.
- [2] Basu, Devraj., and Streme, Alexander. (2007). CAPM and Time-Varying Beta: The Cross-Section of Expected Returns, *SSRN Working paper series*
- [3] Chatterjea, Arkadev., Jerian, Joseph A., and Jarrow, Robert A. (2001). Market Manipulation and Corporate Finance: A new Perspectives, *1994 Annual Meeting Review*, SouthWestern Finance Association, Texas, USA.
- [4] Chen RR, Chidambaran NK, Imerman MB, Sopranzetti BJ, Liquidity, Leverage, and Lehman: A Structural Analysis of Financial Institutions in Crisis, *Fordham School of Business Research Paper No.2279686*, 2013.
- [5] Cheng, L.Y., Wang, M.C., and Chen, K.C. (2014). Institutional Investment Horizons and the Stock Performance of Private Equity Placements: Evidence from the Taiwanese Listed Firms, *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies*, 17(2).
- [6] DeGennaro, Ramon P., Kim, Sangphill. (2003). The CAPM and Beta in an Imperfect Market, *SSRN Working paper series*
- [7] Dimitrov V, Jain PC. (2006). The Value Relevance of Changes in Financial Leverage, *SSRN Working Paper*
- [8] Emilios, A. (2015). Bank Leverage Ratios and Financial Stability: A Micro- and Macroprudential Perspective, *Working Paper No.849*, Levy Economics Institute
- [9] Eugene FF, French KR. (2004). The Capital Asset Pricing Model: Theory and Evidence, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*.
- [10] Galagedera, D.U.A. (2007). An alternative perspective on the relationship between downside beta and CAPM beta, *Emerging Markets Review*
- [11] Gunarathna, V. (2016). How does Financial Leverage Affect Financial Risk? An Empirical Study in Sri Lanka, *Amity Journal of Finance*, 1(1), 57-66.
- [12] Gunaratha V. (2013). The Degree of Financial Leverage as a Determinant of Financial Risk: An Empirical Study of Colombo Stock Exchange in Sri Lanka, *2nd International Conference on Management and Economics Paper*.
- [13] Khwaja, Asim Ijaz., and Mian, Atif. (2005). Unchecked intermediaries:Price manipulation in an emerging stock market, *Journal of Financial Economics* 78, 243 – 241
- [14] Li, L., and Pornchai, C. (2014). Income Structure, Competitiveness, Profitability, and Risk: Evidence from Asian Banks, *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies*, 17(3).
- [15] Martin, K., and Sweder, V.W. (2012). On Risk, leverage and banks: Do highly leveraged banks take on excessive risk?, *Discussion Paper TI 12-022/2/DSF31*, Tinbergen Institute
- [16] Park, J.C, Ali, F.D., & Mbanga, C. (2019). Investor sentiment and aggregate stock returns: the role of investor attention, *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 53(2), 397 - 428.
- [17] Rahman, Dima. (2013). Are Banking Systems Increasingly Fragile? Investigating Financial Institutions' CDS Return Extreme Co-Movements, *Quantitative Finance*
- [18] Siller, Thomas. (2013). Measuring Marginal Risk Contributions in Credit Portfolios, *Quantitative Finance*
- [19] Umar. (2011). Profits, Financial Leverage and Corporate Governance, *SSRN Working Paper*

## Research

- [20] Ang, A., Chen, J. (2007). CAPM Over the Long Run: 1926-2001, *Journal of Empirical Finance*
- [21] *ADB and Viet Nam Fact Sheet*, 2010

## Other web sources

- [22] <http://www.ifc.org/ifcext/mekongpsdf.nsf/Content/PSDP22>
- [23] <http://www.construction-int.com/article/vietnam-construction-market.html>
- [24] <http://fia.mpi.gov.vn/Default.aspx?ctl=Article&MenuID=170&aID=185&PageSize=10&Page=0>
- [25] [http://kientruc.vn/tin\\_trong\\_nuoc/nganh-bat-dong-san-rui-ro-va-co-hoi/4881.html](http://kientruc.vn/tin_trong_nuoc/nganh-bat-dong-san-rui-ro-va-co-hoi/4881.html)
- [26] [http://www.bbc.co.uk/vietnamese/vietnam/story/2008/12/081226\\_vietnam\\_gdp\\_down.shtml](http://www.bbc.co.uk/vietnamese/vietnam/story/2008/12/081226_vietnam_gdp_down.shtml)
- [27] <http://www.mofa.gov.vn/vi/>
- [28] <https://www.ceicdata.com/en/indicator/vietnam/real-gdp-growth>

Exhibit

Exhibit 1 – Inflation, CPI over past 10 years (2007-2017) in Vietnam





Exhibit 2 – GDP growth rate past 10 years (2007-2018) in Vietnam



**Exhibit 3 – Loan/Credit growth rate in the past years (2012-2018) in Vietnam**

